



#### Self-Supervised Temporal Event Segmentation Inspired by Cognitive Theories by Ramy Mounir

April 6<sup>th</sup>, 2021

## **Doorway Effect**

- New scenery demands more cognitive processing causing shifting of event models.
- Shifting makes memories from past event models less accessible to the current event model.
- Evidence that continuous perceptual input is segmented into coherent units - called "events".



## Walking through doorways causes forgetting

Radvansky GA, Krawietz SA, Tamplin AK. <u>Walking through doorways causes forgetting: Further</u> <u>explorations</u>. Q J Exp Psychol (Hove). **2011** Aug;64(8):1632-45. doi: 10.1080/17470218.2011.571267. Epub 2011 May 24. PMID: 21563019.

Pettijohn KA, Radvansky GA. <u>Walking through doorways causes forgetting: recall</u>. Memory. **2018** Nov;26(10):1430-1435. doi: 10.1080/09658211.2018.1489555. Epub 2018 Jun 21. PMID: 29927683.

Radvansky GA, Copeland DE. <u>Walking through doorways causes forgetting: situation models and</u> <u>experienced space</u>. Mem Cognit. **2006** Jul;34(5):1150-6. doi: 10.3758/bf03193261. PMID: 17128613.

McFadyen J, Nolan C, Pinocy E, Buteri D, Baumann O. <u>Doorways do not always cause forgetting: a</u> <u>multimodal investigation</u>. BMC Psychol. **2021** Mar 8;9(1):41. doi: 10.1186/s40359-021-00536-3. PMID: 33685514; PMCID: PMC7938580.

Lawrence Z, Peterson D. <u>Mentally walking through doorways causes forgetting: The location updating effect and imagination</u>. Memory. **2016**;24(1):12-20. doi: 10.1080/09658211.2014.980429. Epub 2014 Nov 20. PMID: 25412111.

Seel SV, Easton A, McGregor A, Buckley MG, Eacott MJ. <u>Walking through doorways differentially affects</u> <u>recall and familiarity</u>. Br J Psychol. **2019** Feb;110(1):173-184. doi: 10.1111/bjop.12343. Epub 2018 Sep 16. PMID: 30221342.

Pettijohn KA, Radvansky GA. <u>Walking through doorways causes forgetting: Event structure or updating</u> <u>disruption?</u> Q J Exp Psychol (Hove). **2016** Nov;69(11):2119-29. doi: 10.1080/17470218.2015.1101478. Epub 2016 Feb 16. PMID: 26556012.



	No shift		Shift	
	ER	RT	ER	RT
Positives Negatives	.23 (.02) .18 (.01)	2,083 (68) 2,059 (63)	.28 (.02) .21 (.01)	2,168 (74) 2,091 (57)

Note: ER = error rate (in proportions). RT = response time (in ms). Standard errors in parentheses.

## **Jeffrey Zacks**

- Associate Chair, Dept. of Psychological and Brain Sciences. *Washington University, St. Louis.*
- Ph.D. in Cognitive Psychology, Stanford University.
- Interests:
  - Perception and Cognition.
  - Parsing continuous stream of behavior into meaningful events.
  - How event segmentation affects memory and cognition.
  - Mental representation for reasoning about spatial relations.
- Author of 5 books.





## **Event Segmentation Theory**

- Segmentation of ongoing activity is a spontaneous concomitant of ongoing perception.
- Event segmentation happens
   simultaneously on multiple
   timescales, though an observer
   may attend to a particular timescale.
- Event models are constructed through interaction of sensory input with stored knowledge



Zacks, J. M., Speer, N. K., Swallow, K. M., Braver, T. S., & Reynolds, J. R. (2007). Event perception: a mind-brain perspective. *Psychological bulletin*, *133*(2), 273.

### SPECT

- Front-end operates on single eye fixations, while back-end processing deals with multiple fixations
- Broad and narrow features and extracted from stimulus, which controls "Attentional Selection"
- Current event model is constructed over time in the working memory.
- Event models are stored in episodic memory (shifted) when they fail to explain or predict current features.





### **Hierarchy of Events**





## **Early Conceptual Acquisition in Infants**



## **Self-supervised Learning**

• Past predicts the future

Recent past predicts future

• Present predicts the past

• Visible predicts occluded



Adopted from Yann Lecun Presentation on self-supervised learning

## **Self-supervised Learning**



Doersch, Carl, Abhinav Gupta, and Alexei A. Efros. "Unsupervised visual representation learning by context prediction." Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. 2015.



Gidaris, Spyros, Praveer Singh, and Nikos Komodakis. "Unsupervised representation learning by predicting image rotations." arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.07728 (2018).

- Prediction model predicts future time steps
- Prediction error improves prediction performance through gradient descend.
- Self-supervised training





#### Naïve approach

- Use FFN (MLP/CNN) on raw input.
- Transform current perceptual input to future input.
- Loss signal trains the predictive function.

#### **Problems**

- Too much noise in input signal
- High space and time complexity





#### Solution

- Use a trainable feature extractor.
- Transform current features to future features.
- Loss signal trains the predictive function and feature extractor.

#### Problems

- Limited temporal receptive field
- Model requires features from the past to accurately predict the future





Mounir, Ramy, Redwan Alqasemi, and Rajiv Dubey. "Speech Assistance for Persons With Speech Impediments Using Artificial Neural Networks." ASME 2017 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition. American Society of Mechanical Engineers Digital Collection, 2017.



#### cLass Model(nn.Module):

```
def __init__(self):
    super(Model, self). init ()
    # ===== Define Encoder ===== #
    self.encoder = nn.Sequential(nn.Conv2d(3,16, (3,3), 1), nn.ReLU(), nn.AvgPool2d((4,4), 4),
                                nn.Conv2d(16,32, (3,3), 1), nn.ReLU(), nn.AvgPool2d((4,4), 4),
                                nn.Conv2d(32,64, (3,3), 1), nn.ReLU(), nn.AvgPool2d((4,4), 4),
                                nn.Flatten())
    self.predictor = nn.GRU(256, 256, 1)
    self.loss fn = nn.MSELoss()
def forward(self, x, y):
    # ===== Define Architecture ====== #
    x features = self.encoder(x).unsqueeze(1)
    y features = self.encoder(y).unsqueeze(1)
   , h = self.predictor(x features)
    return h, y features
```

model = Model()
x = torch.randn((4, 3, 224, 224)) # Input
y = torch.randn((1, 3, 224, 224)) # Label
pred, y\_features = model(x, y) # Prediction
loss = model.loss fn(pred, y features) # Scalar loss



#### Solution

• Use recurrent model with internal memory.

#### **Problems**

- Unit of t is undefined.
- Different timescales.



#### **Solution**

- Build hierarchical model
- Represent multiple

timescales

#### **Problems**

- How many levels?
- Temporal pooling?



#### **PredNet Architecture**



consider a two layer network

Lotter, W., Kreiman, G., & Cox, D. (2016). Deep predictive coding networks for video prediction and unsupervised learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.08104*.

### **HPNet Architecture**





Qiu, J., Huang, G., & Lee, T. S. (2019). A neurally-inspired hierarchical prediction network for spatiotemporal sequence learning and prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.09002*.

## Importance of Prediction Error

- Prediction error is propagated backwards to train the feature extractor and the prediction function.
- Prediction error is reasonably indicative of event boundaries, pointing to the beginning and ending of events.
- The magnitude of prediction error indicates the temporal segmentation granularity.
- Spatial prediction error can be used for action localization.

## **Importance of Prediction Error**

Low prediction error is expected within an event, given a good prediction model.



## **Importance of Prediction Error**

High prediction error is expected within an event, given a good prediction model.



## **Self Supervised Event Segmentation**

- LSTM cell for internal memory of event model.
- Error detection implemented as a low pass filter on prediction error running average.
- Gating signal triggered when error is above 1.5 times the running average.
- Adaptive learning controls learning rate

$$P_q(t) = P_q(t-1) + \frac{1}{n}(E_P(t) - P_q(t-1))$$

$$G(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \frac{E_P(t)}{P_q(t-1)} > \psi_e \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Aakur, S. N., & Sarkar, S. (2019). A perceptual prediction framework for self supervised event segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (pp. 1197-1206).



SN VTA LC

## **Self Supervised Event Segmentation**





### **Self Supervised Event Segmentation**

Supervision	Approach	MoF	IoU
Full	SVM [19]	15.8	-
	HTK(64)[20]	56.3	-
	ED-TCN[27]	43.3	42.0
	TCFPN[10]	52.0	54.9
	GRU[29]	60.6	-
Weak	OCDC[6]	8.9	23.4
	ECTC[16]	27.7	-
	Fine2Coarse[28]	33.3	47.3
	TCFPN + ISBA[10]	38.4	40.6
None	KNN+GMM[30]	34.6	47.1
	Ours (LSTM + AL)	42.9	46.9

Table 1: Segmentation Results on the Breakfast Action dataset. MoF refers to the Mean over Frames metric and IoU is the Intersection over Union metric.

Supervision	Approach	MoF
	VGG**[21]	7.6%
	IDT**[21]	54.3%
Eull	S-CNN + LSTM[21]	66.6%
ruii	TDRN[22]	68.1%
	ST-CNN + Seg[21]	72.0%
	TCN[27]	<b>73.4</b> %
None	LSTM + KNN[4]	54.0%
inone	Ours (LSTM + AL)	60.6%

Table 2: Segmentation Results on the 50 Salads dataset, at granularity '*Eval*'. \*\*Models were intentionally reported without temporal constraints for ablative studies.

Supervision	Approach	<b>F1</b>
	HMM + Text [24]	22.9%
Full	Discriminative Clustering[3]	41.4%
	KNN+GMM[30] + GT	69.2%
Week	OCDC + Text Features [6]	28.9%
WCak	OCDC [6]	31.8%
	KNN+GMM[30]	32.2%
	Ours (RNN + No AL)	25.9%
None	Ours $(RNN + AL)$	29.4%
	Ours (LSTM + No AL)	36.4%
	Ours $(LSTM + AL)$	39.7%

Table 3: Segmentation Results on the INRIA InstructionalVideos dataset. We report F1 score for fair comparison.

- Bahdanau attention is used to visualize the location of the bird.
- Motion-weighted loss is used instead of pure prediction loss.

$$e_t = ||(I'_{t+1} - y'_t)^{\odot 2} \odot (I'_{t+1} - I'_t)^{\odot 2}||^2$$



Mounir, R., Gula, R., Theuerkauf, J., & Sarkar, S. (2020). Temporal Event Segmentation using Attention-based Perceptual Prediction Model for Continual Learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.02463*.



30



Raw Video Frames



#### Bahdanau Attention



#### Bahdanau Attention







## **Energy-based Action Localization**

- Pretrained Spatial Region Proposal.
- Prediction error peaks filter the object proposals.
- Energy-based optimization ensures action localization and temporal consistency.

$$E(\mathcal{B}_{it}) = w_{\alpha} \ \phi(\alpha_{ij}, \mathcal{B}_{it}) + w_t \delta(\mathcal{B}_{it}, \{\mathcal{B}_{j,t-1}\})$$



### **Energy-based Action Localization**



### **Energy-based Action Localization**



# Thank you! Questions?





